

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,685	07/17/2001	Christophe Clavier	032326-138	9929
JAMES A. LaB	7590 02/05/200	EXAMINER		
BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ABYANEH, ALI S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			. 2137	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	09/830,685	CLAVIER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ali S. Abyaneh	2137			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-8 and 11-15 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 and 11-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the original original contents are considered to by the Examiner of the contents are considered to by the Examiner of the contents are considered to by the Examiner of the contents o	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

09/830,685 Art Unit: 2137

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-8 and 11-15 are presented for examination.
- 2. Claims 1, 2 and 12 are amended.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's amendments/arguments filed on 11-30-2007 have been fully considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4. In the claim sheet presented on 11-13-2007, claim 8 has been identified as an amended claim, but the text in the claim does not include any changes to the claim. Applicants are encouraged to review the claim and if the claim is amended show the changes by strike-through (for deleted matter) or underline (for added matter).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph 0f 35 U.S.C. 112
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraphs for following reasons:

In claim 1, the term "first manipulation means" and "means of instructions" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a

standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

In claim 12, the term "first manipulation means" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclose or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-8 and 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kocher (US Patent NO.6278783) in view of Luyster (US Patent NO 6,182,216).

Regarding claim 1

Kocher explicitly teaches a countermeasure method in an electronic component using a cryptographic algorithm that comprises multiple successive rounds of operation with a secret key, (column 12, lines 18-24), wherein at least one of the said rounds is implemented with a first manipulating means for supplying an output data item from an input data item, (column 6, lines 39-42) and the output data

item is manipulated by means of instructions (column 6, lines 47-49) and wherein at least one other round of said algorithm is implemented with other manipulation means for supplying output data, so that the output data item is unpredictable (column 6, lines 39-53).

Kocher does not explicitly teach said other manipulation means being obtained from said first manipulation means by performing an exclusive OR operation on said first manipulation means with a random value. However, in an analogous art, Luyster teaches other manipulation means being obtained from said first manipulation means by performing an exclusive OR operation on said first manipulation means with a random value (column 6, lines 39-53 and fig 6. block 124).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kocher to include, other manipulation means being obtained from said first manipulation means by performing an exclusive OR operation on said first manipulation means with a random value. This would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, would have been motivated to do so in order to provide cryptographic systems and methods which are secure and to resist attacks by sophisticated algorithms which detect and take advantage of weak subkeys to determine the keys of the cryptographic system (column 15, lines 27-39).

Kocher teaches an electronic security component have a countermeasure against attacks on a secret key cryptography technique in which data is manipulated during multiple successive rounds of a cryptograph algorithm, said component comprising: a program memory having stored therein a first manipulating means that produces an output value from an input value (column 2, lines 35,36) means for generating a random value (column 6, lines 39-53).

Kocher does not explicitly teach means for calculating at least one other manipulating means by combining said first manipulating means with said random value and a processor that executes said algorithm using said first manipulating means during some of said multiple rounds and said other manipulating means during other rounds of said algorithm. However, in an analogous art, Luyster teaches means for calculating at least one other manipulating means by combining said first manipulating means with said random value (column 6, lines 39-53 and fig 6. block 124) and a processor that executes said algorithm using said first manipulating means during some of said multiple rounds and said other manipulating means during other rounds of said algorithm (column 15-line 63-collumn 16, line11).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kocher to include means for calculating at least one other manipulating means by combining said first

09/830,685 Art Unit: 2137

manipulating means with said random value and a processor that executes said algorithm using said first manipulating means during some of said multiple

rounds and said other manipulating means during other rounds of said algorithm.

This would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, would have been motivated to do so in order to provide cryptographic systems and methods which are secure and to resist attacks by sophisticated algorithms which detect and take advantage of weak subkeys to determine the keys of the cryptographic system (column 15, lines 27-39).

Regarding claim 2 and claim 5

Kocher furthermore teaches wherein said algorithm comprises sixteen calculation rounds, each round using manipulation means for supplying an output data item from an input data item, the output data item being manipulated by instruction in the first three and the last three rounds, and wherein said method includes the steps of forming a first group comprising at least the first three rounds and another group comprising at least the last three rounds, and implementing the first group and the last group with an execution sequence using the other manipulation means in at least some (see column 9, line 1-column 10, line 38).

Art Unit: 2137

Regarding claim 3 and claim 4

Luyster furthermore teaches a countermeasure method wherein four groups of successive rounds are formed and said execution sequence is applied at least to the first group and to the last group, wherein the sequence is executed in each of the groups (see column 7, lines 16-51).

Regarding claim 6

Kocher furthermore teaches a countermeasure method wherein each execution of the algorithm includes the steps of drawing a random value and calculating said other manipulation means. (column 6, Lines 39-53).

Regarding Claim 7

Kocher furthermore teaches a method wherein said manipulation means are tables of constants. (column 7, Lines 16-65).

Regarding claim 8

Kocher furthermore teaches a method wherein said manipulation means are used in combination with an additional exclusive OR operation with a value based upon the random value. (column 6, lines 39-53).

Regarding Claim 11

Kocher furthermore teaches wherein said random value is

means. (column 6, Lines 39-53).

derived from one or both of the input and output data of said first manipulation

Regarding claim 13

Kocher furthermore teaches wherein said first and said other manipulating means each comprise a table of constants. (column 7, lines 16-65).

Regarding claim 14

Kocher furthermore teaches wherein said cryptography technique comprises a DES algorithm that is executed in multiple rounds (column 9, lines 1-67, column 10, lines 1-39 and column 11, lines 41-55).

Regarding claim 15

Kocher furthermore teaches the electronic security component of claim 12, wherein said component is a chip card. (column 14, lines 1-8).

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ali Abyaneh whose telephone number is (571) 272-7961. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from (8:00-5:00). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

09/830,685 Art Unit: 2137

supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned as (571) 273-8300 Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NASSER MOAZZAMI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

1/31/08

Ali Abyaneh
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2137
01/25/08